The Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR) of the IQAT 2014-15

All NAAC accredited institutions will submit an annual self-reviewed progress report to NAAC, through its IQAC. The report is to detail the tangible results achieved in key areas, specifically identified by the institutional IQAC at the beginning of the academic year. The AQAR will detail the results of the perspective plan worked out by the IQAC. (Note: The AQAR period would be the Academic Year. For example, July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013)

Part – A

I. Details of the Institution

| 1.1 Name of the Institution | TERI UNIVERSITY |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1.2 Address Line 1 | 10, INSTITUTIONAL AREA |
| Address Line 2 | VASANT KUNJ |
| City/Town | NEW DELHI |
| State | DELHI |
| Pin Code | 110070 |
| Institution e-mail address | Registrar@teriuniversity.ac.in |
| Contact Nos. | 011-71800222 |
| Name of the Head of the Institution | DR. LEENA SRIVASTAVA |
| Tel. No. with STD Code: | 011-26122222 |
| Mobile: | 9811009260 |

| Nan | Name of the IQAT Co-ordinator: DR. ARUN KANSAL | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|---------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------|--|
| Mol | oile: | | | 92133 | 3730 | 00 | | | | |
| IQA | AT e-mail a | address: | | akansal@teri.res.in | | | | | | |
| 1.3 | 1.3 NAAC Track ID (For ex. MHCOGN 18879) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.4 | 1.4 NAAC Executive Committee No. & Date: (For Example EC/32/A&A/143 dated 3-5-2004. This EC no. is available in the right corner- bottom of your institution's Accreditation Certificate) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.5 | Website a | ddress: | | www. | terii | university.ac.in | | | | |
| | W | eb-link of th | ne AQAR: | | http: | //www.teriunive | rsity.ac.in/AQA | R/2014- | 15.docx | |
| | | For ex. ht | ttp://www. | .ladyke | ane | college.edu.in/A | AQAR2012-13 | 3.doc | | |
| 1.6 | Accredita | tion Details | • | · | | C | | | | |
| Sl. No. Cycle Grade | | | | CGP | Ά | Year of Accreditation | Validity Period | | | |
| | 1 | 1st Cycle | Α | 3.26 | 6 | 2013 | 5 YEARS | | | |
| | 2 | 2 nd Cycle | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 3 rd Cycle | | | | | | | | |
| 4 4 th Cycle | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.7 | 1.7 Date of Establishment of IQAT :DD/MM/YYYY | | | | | | | | | |

1.8 AQAR for the year (for example 2010-11) 2014-15

1.9 Details of the previous year's AQAR submitted to NAAC after the latest Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC ((for example AQAR 2010-11submitted to NAAC on 12-10-2011)

| iii. AQAR | 130/11/2014 (DD/MM/YYYY) (DD/MM/YYYY) (DD/MM/YYYY) (DD/MM/YYYY) |
|--|--|
| 1.10 Institutional Status | (33/111/2 1 1 1 1 1) |
| University | State Central Deemed ✓ Private |
| Affiliated College | Yes No 🗸 |
| Constituent College | Yes No 🗸 |
| Autonomous college of UGC | Yes No 🗸 |
| Regulatory Agency approved Insti | tution Yes 🗸 No |
| (eg. AICTE, BCI, MCI, PCI, NCI) | |
| Type of Institution Co-education Urban | on |
| Financial Status Grant-in- | aid UGC 2(f) UGC 12B |
| Grant-in-aid | 1 + Self Financing Totally Self-financing |
| 1.11 Type of Faculty/Programme | |
| Arts Science | Commerce Law PEI (Phys Edu) |
| TEI (Edu) Engineering | g |
| Others (Specify) | |
| 1.12 Name of the Affiliating Universi | ty (for the Colleges) NOT APPLICABLE |
| 1.13 Special status conferred by Cent | ral/ State Government UGC/CSIR/DST/DBT/ICMR etc. : NA |
| Autonomy by State/Central C | Govt. / University |

| University with Potential for Excellence | UGC-CPE |
|--|---------------------------|
| DST Star Scheme | UGC-CE |
| UGC-Special Assistance Programme | DST-FIST |
| UGC-Innovative PG programmes | Any other (Specify) |
| UGC-COP Programmes | |
| 2. IQAT Composition and Activitie | <u>es</u> |
| 2.1 No. of Teachers | 4 |
| 2.2 No. of Administrative/Technical staff | |
| 2.3 No. of students | NO |
| 2.4 No. of Management representatives | 1 |
| 2.5 No. of Alumni | NO |
| 2. 6 No. of any other stakeholder and community representatives | NO |
| 2.7 No. of Employers/ Industrialists | NO |
| 2.8 No. of other External Experts | NO |
| 2.9 Total No. of members | 5 |
| 2.10 No. of IQAT meetings held : 4 2.11 No. of meetings with various stakeholders: Non-Teaching Staff Students | No. Faculty Alumni Others |
| 2.12 Has IQAT received any funding from UGC du | aring the year? Yes No |
| If yes, mention the amount | |

| 2.13 Seminars and Conferences (only quality related) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|----|----------|--|-------|------------------|--|
| (i) | (i) No. of Seminars/Conferences/ Workshops/Symposia organized by the IQAT: NIL | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Nos. | | Internation | al | National | | State | Institution Leve | |
| (ii) |) Themes | | | | | | | | |
| 2.14 Significant Activities and contributions made by IQAT | | | | | | | | | |
| The IQAT indicated the need for upscaling the number of applications for admissions in the various masters programmes at the university. It suggested a focus on reaching out to undergraduate students and teachers in various colleges across the country. In support of this the university instituted a short programme of five days | | | | | | | | | |

2.15 Plan of Action by IQAT/Outcome

The plan of action chalked out by the IQAT in the beginning of the year towards quality enhancement and the outcome achieved by the end of the year *

called "Building Learning In Sustainability Sciences". This programme exposes

undergraduate students to the various facets of sustainability.

| Plan of Action | Achievements |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Increased outreach, especially | BLISS programme commenced and will |
| through undergraduate students | be held every year in order to give |
| and college teachers | exposure to undergraduate students. The |
| | programme held in 2014-15 attracted a |
| | large number of students and teachers to |
| | the campus of the university. In order to |
| | have a larger outreach the programme |
| | was also held in a webinar mode. |
| | |

^{*} Attach the Academic Calendar of the year as **Annexure 1**.

| 2.15 Whether the AQAR was pla | aced in statutory body | Yes 🗸 No |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Management | Syndicate | Any other body |
| Provide the details of | the action taken | |
| Executive Committee | tee reviewed the AQAR. | |
| | | |
| | | |

Part - B

Criterion - I

I. Curricular Aspects

1.1 Details about Academic Programmes

| Level of the Programme | Number of existing Programmes | Number of programmes added during the year | Number of self-financing programmes | Number of value added / Career Oriented programmes |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| PhD | 6 | | 6 | 6 |
| PG | 12 | | 12 | 12 |
| UG | - | | - | - |
| PG Diploma | 2 | | 2 | 2 |
| Advanced Diploma | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| Diploma | | | | |
| Certificate | 4 | | 4 | 4 |
| WSG | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Others | | | | |
| Total | 25 | 1 | 26 | 26 |
| Interdisciplinary | | | | |
| Innovative | | | | |

| 1.2 (i) Flexibility of the Curric | ulum: CBCS/Core | /Elec | tive option / Open options |
|---|--------------------|-------|--|
| (ii) Pattern of programmes: | Pattern | | Number of programmes |
| | Semester | | ✓ |
| | Trimester | | |
| | Annual | | |
| 1.3 Feedback from stakeholders (On all aspects) | * Alumni | Pa | rents Employers \(\sqrt{\sqrt{Students}} \) |
| Mode of feedback : | Online | Ma | anual Co-operating schools (for PEI) |
| *Please provide an analysis of | the feedback in th | e An | nexure 2 |

1.4 Whether there is any revision/update of regulation or syllabi, if yes, mention their salient aspects.

Yes, TERI University undertakes regular revisions / updates of courses in the different programmes and regulations as stipulated by the UGC from time-to-time. Being a higher education institution dedicated towards education for sustainable development, all the programmes are designed to align with current discourse in the domain of sustainable development. While the programmes are inter-disciplinary in character, the TERI University ensures that it also reflects the available syllabi models of the UGC and other national and international level institutions of repute (IITs, IIMs, JNU etc.). The revisions/updates are made through a systematic process including consultations with various domain experts and stakeholders.

| 1.5 A | Any new I | Department/(| Centre introdu | uced during | the year. I | f yes, | give deta | ails. |
|-------|-----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|
|-------|-----------|--------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|

| Department of Water Science and Governance | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

Criterion - II

2. Teaching, Learning and Evaluation

2.1 Total No. of permanent faculty

| Total | Asst. Professors | Associate Professors | Professors | Others |
|-------|------------------|----------------------|------------|--------|
| 40 | 23 | 10 | 7 | |

2.2 No. of permanent faculty with Ph.D.

| 36 |
|----|
|----|

2.3 No. of Faculty Positions Recruited (R) and Vacant (V) during the year

| Asst. | | Associa | ite | Profes | sors | Others | | Total | |
|--------|------|----------|-----|--------|------|--------|---|-------|---|
| Profes | sors | Professo | ors | | | | | | |
| R | V | R | V | R | V | R | V | R | V |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | | 11 | |

2.4 No. of Guest and Visiting faculty and Temporary faculty

| 27 | 28 | |
|----|----|-----|
| | 1 | l I |

2.5 Faculty participation in conferences and symposia:

| No. of Faculty | International level | National level | State level |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Attended | 12 | 16 | 2 |
| Presented papers | 13 | 11 | |
| Resource Persons | | 2 | |

2.6 Innovative processes adopted by the institution in Teaching and Learning:

The University endeavours to enhance the skillsets of the students through innovative pedagogy. The M.Tech. Urban Development and Management Programme started in July 2013 introduced experiential learning through intensive semester long internships with urban local bodies and parastatals. Such exposure necessarily benefits student learning by providing an opportunity to go beyond the classroom learning and be a part of the policy making and implementation processes. Students are encouraged to participate in several workshops and conferences to facilitate interactions with researchers, scientists and academicians. The University took the initiative of institutionalizing study tours in the first semester of the academic year. Accordingly, students across the programmes were taken to sites for learning about various sustainability practices in urban, rural, industrial and natural settings. The faculty members mentor students and facilitates their learning through minor and major research projects. Guest lectures by experts in various fields are organised throughout the semesters to give a wider perspective to the students. The University collaborates with various national and foreign academic institutions through joint curriculum development and exchange of faculty and students.

| 2.7 | Total | No. | of | actual | teaching | days |
|-----|-------|-----|----|--------|----------|------|
|-----|-------|-----|----|--------|----------|------|

during this academic year

Approx.230

| 2.8 | Examination/ Evaluation Reforms initiated by the Institution (for example: Open Book Examination, Bar Coding, Dou | Open Boo | ok Examinatior | า า | |
|------|---|--------------|----------------|--------|--|
| | Photocopy, Online Multiple Choice Questions) | | | | |
| 2.9 | No. of faculty members involved in curriculum restructuring/revision/syllabus development | All | | | |
| | as member of Board of Study/Faculty/Curriculum Deve | lopment work | shop | | |
| 2.10 | Average percentage of attendance of students | 85% | | | |

2.11 Course/Programme wise distribution of pass percentage :

| Title of the Programme | Total no. of students | | D | ivision* | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----|----------|-------|--------|
| Trogramme | appeared | Distinction % | Ι% | II % | III % | Pass % |
| M.Sc | | | | | | 100 |
| MBA | | | | | | 100 |
| M.Tech | | | | | | 100 |
| M.A. | | | | | | 99 |
| PhD | | | | | | |
| AP PGD | | | | | | |
| PGD | | | | | | |
| Certificate | | | | | | |

^{*} Results are based on CGPA and no distinction/division are awarded.

2.12 How does IQAT Contribute/Monitor/Evaluate the Teaching & Learning processes :

The IQAT provides inputs to Heads and Deans meeting.

2.13 Initiatives undertaken towards faculty development

| Faculty / Staff Development Programmes | Number of faculty benefitted |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Refresher courses | |
| UGC – Faculty Improvement Programme (Retreat) | 37 |
| HRD programmes | |
| Orientation programmes | 14 |
| Faculty exchange programme | |
| Staff training conducted by the university (Retreat and IT Training) | 28 |
| Staff training conducted by other institutions | |
| Summer / Winter schools, Workshops, etc. | 8 |
| Others | |

2.14 Details of Administrative and Technical staff

| Category | Number of Permanent Employees | Number of Vacant Positions | Number of permanent positions filled during the Year | Number of positions filled temporarily (through deputation) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Administrative Staff | | | | 25 |
| Technical Staff | | | | 9 |

Criterion - III

3. Research, Consultancy and Extension

3.1 Initiatives of the IQAT in Sensitizing/Promoting Research Climate in the institution

IQAT has prepared comprehensive rules and guidelines for PhD regulations as per revised UGC norms. IQAT recommended that Internal Grants Committee to continue to provide partial financial assistance to faculty and students for research projects and participation in conferences and seminars. IQAT further recommended involvement of the students in faculty research projects.

3.2 Details regarding major projects:

| | Completed | Ongoing | Sanctioned | Submitted |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Number | 16 | 11 | 17 | 17 |
| Outlay in Rs. Lakhs | 352 | 309 | 433 | 433 |

3.3 Details regarding minor projects:

| | Completed | Ongoing | Sanctioned | Submitted |
|---------------------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Number | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Outlay in Rs. Lakhs | 23.26 | 1.30 | 12.87 | 12.87 |

3.4 **Details on research publications**

| | International | National | Others |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Peer Review Journals | 76 | 15 | |
| Non-Peer Review Journals | | 4 | 8 |
| e-Journals | 75 | 20 | |
| Conference proceedings | 7 | 3 | |

| 3.5 Details | on Imp | act factor of | publicatio | ons: | | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------------|------------|---------|----------------|----|--|
| Range | | Average | | h-index | Nos. in SCOPUS | 73 | |

3.6 Research funds sanctioned and received from various funding agencies, industry and other organisations:-

| Nature of the Project | Duration Year | Name of the funding Agency | Total grant sanctioned | Received |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Major projects | | | 43399476/- | 43399476/- |
| Minor Projects | | | 1287472/- | 1287472/- |
| Interdisciplinary Projects | | | | |
| Industry sponsored | | | | |
| Projects sponsored by the University/ College | | | | |
| Students research projects (other than compulsory by the University) | | | | |
| Any other(Specify) | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

| 3.7 No. of bo | ooks published i) With ISB | SN No. 2 | Chap | ters in | Edited Book | S | 16 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|----|
| | ii) Without | ISBN | | | | | |
| 3.8 No. of U | niversity Departments recei | ving funds from: N | .A. | | | _ | |
| | UGC-SAP | CAS | | Ι | OST-FIST | | |
| | DPE | | | Ι | OBT Scheme | funds | |
| 3.9 For colle | ges Autonomy | СРЕ | | Г | OBT Star Sch | eme | |
| | INSPIRE | CE | | A | Any Other (sp | pecify) | |
| 3.10 Revenu | e generated through consult | ancy Rs.72.77 | 7 Lacs | | | | |
| 3.11 No. of | conferences organized by th | ne Institution | | | | | |
| Level | International | National | | State | University | College | |
| Number | 4 | 11 | | | 8 | | |
| Sponsoring | UNDP, UNEP, World | MoEF, MNRE, | | | | | |
| agencies | Bank, South Asia, OSF | Brainwiz, DST, DI | 3T, | | | | |
| | etc. | Global Developme | nt | | | | |
| | | Network, IGNFA, | | | | | |
| | | PHFI | | | | | |
| 3.12 No. of f | aculty served as experts, ch | airpersons or resource | ce pers | sons | 13 | | |
| 3.13 No. of c | collaborations I | nternational 06 | Natio | onal [| O7 Any o | ther | |
| 3.14 No. of 1 | inkages created during this | year 13 | | | | | |
| 3.15 Total bu | adget for research for currer | nt year in lakhs: | | | | | |
| From Fun | iding agency 500 Lacs | From Managemer | nt of U | niversi | ty/College [| | |
| Total | 500 Lacs | | | | | | |
| 3.16 No. of | patents received this year | Type of Patent | | | Numb | er | 7 |
| | | National | App | lied | | | 1 |
| | | ranonai | Grai | | | | |
| | | International | App | | NIL | | |
| | | | Grai App | | | | |
| | | Commercialised | Grai | | | | |

3.17 No. of research awards/ recognitions received by faculty and research fellows Of the institute in the year

| Total | International | National | State | University | Dist | College |
|-------|---------------|----------|-------|------------|------|---------|
| 3 | 1 | 2 | | | | |

| 3.18 No.of faculty from the Institution who are Ph. D. Guides and students registered under them 3.18 No.of faculty from the Institution 20 3.18 No.of faculty from the Institution 31 3.18 No.of faculty from the Institution 32 3.18 No.of f | | |
|--|---|---|
| 3.19 No. of Ph.D. awarded by faculty from the Ins | titution 08 | |
| 3.20 No. of Research scholars receiving the Fellov | vships (Newly enrolled + existing ones) | |
| JRF - SRF - | Project Fellows Any other | |
| 3.21 No. of students Participated in NSS events: | N.A. | |
| | University level State level | |
| | National level International level | |
| 3.22 No. of students participated in NCC events: | N.A. | |
| | University level State level | |
| | National level International level | |
| 3.23 No. of Awards won in NSS: N.A. | | |
| | University level State level | |
| | National level International level | |
| 3.24 No. of Awards won in NCC: N.A. | | |
| | University level State level | |
| | National level International level | _ |
| 3.25 No. of Extension activities organized: | N.A. | |
| University forum College for | orum | |
| NCC NSS | Any other | |

6.4 Major Activities during the year in the sphere of extension activities and Institutional Social Responsibility : Annexure 3

Criterion – IV

4. Infrastructure and Learning Resources

4.1 Details of increase in infrastructure facilities: N.A.

| Facilities | Existing | Newly created | Source of Fund | Total |
|---|--|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Campus area | 2 acres | | | 2 acres |
| Class rooms | 10 | | University fund | 10 |
| Laboratories | 8 | | | 8 |
| Seminar Halls | 1 | | | 1 |
| No. of important equipments purchased (≥ 1-0 lakh) during the current year. | As per Balance sheet enclosed (Annexure 4) | NIL | | NIL |
| Value of the equipment purchased during the year (Rs. in Lakhs) | As per Balance sheet enclosed (Annexure 4) | 17.66 | Own resources, DST & DBT | 17.66 |
| Others | | | | |

4.2 Computerization of administration and library :

| Yes it is computerised. | |
|-------------------------|--|
| Yes it is computerised. | |

4.3 Library services:

| | Existing | | Newly | added | Total | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| | No. | Value | No. | Value | No. | Value | |
| Text Books | 3999 | 5468 | 439 | 477 | 4438 | 5945 | |
| Reference Books | 356 | 361 | 12 | 12 | 368 | 373 | |
| e-Books | | | | | | | |
| Journals | 5 | | | | 5 | | |
| e-Journals | 37 | | | | 37 | | |
| Digital Database | 6 | | | | 6 | | |
| CD & Video | 185 | 276 | 8 | 12 | 193 | 288 | |
| Others (specify) | | | | | | | |

4.4 Technology up gradation (overall)

| | Total Computers | Computer Labs | Internet | Browsing Centres | Computer Centres | Office | Depart- ments | Others |
|----------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| Existing | 187 | 3 | 20+2 Mbps | | 1 | | 06 | 02 |
| Added | 20 | | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | |

4.5 Computer, Internet access, training to teachers and students and any other programme for technology upgradation (Networking, e-Governance etc.)

Regular Training organised by the IT Department on existing systems and new systems (as and when added)

4.6 Amount spent on maintenance in lakhs:

| i) | ICT | 27.37 |
|----|-----|-------|
|----|-----|-------|

ii) Campus Infrastructure and facilities 144.65

iii) Equipments 78.58

iv) Others 42.30

Total: 292.90

Criterion - V

5. Student Support and Progression

5.1 Contribution of IQAT in enhancing awareness about Student Support Services

On advice of IQAT the University organized orientation /induction programme for freshers during the first week of the new Academic session. The orientation programme aims to introduce the new batch of students to University's environment. As part of the Orientation Programme, several activities on team building are carried out with the students. Through these activities, the students are introduced to the inter-disciplinary approach of learning and the wider area of sustainable development. Upon the recommendation of the IQAT, a medical room and a Creche has been set up.

5.2 Efforts made by the institution for tracking the progression

The University has a mechanism of collecting student feedback on all the courses taught during a semester to facilitate mid-course correction, the feedback is collected once during the middle of the semester and the second time at the end of the semester. This feedback is studied by the Deans and discussed with faculty members wherever necessary with the objective of optimizing the learning outcomes. In addition, there exists an open approach for suggestions and complaints brought by the students to the concerned authority at any time. A regular interaction between the students, faculty and Heads/Deans/Registrar ensures that students are provided a platform for sharing their views.

5.3 (a) Total Number of UG PG Ph. D. Others students

(b) No. of students outside the state

87

(c) No. of international students

9

 No
 %

 Men
 94

 Women
 124

| | | | Last Ye | ear | | | | T | his Yea | ır | |
|---------|----|----|---------|--------------------------|-------|---------|----|----|---------|--------------------------|--|
| General | SC | ST | OBC | Physically Challenged | Total | General | SC | ST | OBC | Physically Challenged | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Demand ratio 1320/226

Dropout %

| NA | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| No. of students bene- | ficiaries | | |
| .5 No. of students qualifie | ed in these examination | s | |
| NET 7 IAS/IPS etc | SET/SLET State PSC | GATE 4 UPSC | CAT Others 4 |
| .6 Details of student coun | selling and career guida | ance | |
| orient the students to the guidance on choice of University offers a material evaluation at interviews. The University has a Place of Projects as well as fine assigned as the Place manager and provides | ne programme plan for elective courses which indatory course on Terpresentation skills of the sand a necessity in problement Manager who all placements. At the ment Coordinator who area specific guidance ement coordinators for CV, soft skills are organical contents. | the semester. The salign with their in chnical Writing an he students, which fession. I facilitates student individual program o works in close of for placements. It interacting with | encement of the semester to students are provided regular terests and career goals. The d Communication Skills for are often a key attribute for placement process for Major ame level, there is a faculty unison with the placement n addition, each programme the Placement Cell and the |
| | | | |
| 7.7 Details of campus place | | | |
| N 1 6 | On campus | N 1 C | Off Campus |
| Number of Organizations Visited | Number of Students Participated | Number of Students Placed | Number of Students Placed |
| 107 | 123 | 77 | 39 |
| One lecture conducte | | | |

5.9 Students Activities No. of students participated in Sports, Games and other events: N.A. State/ University level National level International level No. of students participated in cultural events: N.A. State/ University level National level International level 5.9.2 No. of medals /awards won by students in Sports, Games and other events : Sports: State/ University level National level International level Cultural: State/ University level National level International level 5.10 Scholarships and Financial Support Number of Amount students Financial support from institution 1 Rs.191955/-Financial support from government 12 Rs.4656075/-Financial support from other sources (CSLA) 5 Rs.5982486/-**HSBC** 4 Rs.2410645/-**SCCF** 4 Rs.2944922/-Number of students who received International/ National recognitions Student organised / initiatives 5.11 National level International level Fairs : State/ University level Exhibition: State/ University level National level International level 5.12 No. of social initiatives undertaken by the students 01

5.13 Major grievances of students (if any) redressed:

Nil

Criterion - VI

6. Governance, Leadership and Management

6.1 State the Vision and Mission of the institution

The TERI University aspires to contribute globally by serving society as a seat of advanced learning and to promote learning through teaching and through creating and sharing knowledge. The University commits itself to academic excellence and an environment which would encourage personal and intellectual growth.

The TERI University provides world-class facilities and resources to its students and faculty to usher in innovative and multidisciplinary research.

| 6.2 Does the Instituti | on has a management | Information System |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|

| Yes through UMS | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

6.3 Quality improvement strategies adopted by the institution for each of the following:

6.3.1 Curriculum Development

Curriculum is designed by the concerned programme faculty through a very rigorous process involving a series of deliberations with faculty, experts (academicians and researchers) and key stakeholders (industry, consultancies, donor agencies, multilaterals, Government, etc.) in the concerned area. The curriculum is then reviewed by Board of Studies (BOS), consisting of faculty and external domain experts. The recommendations of the BOS are presented to the Academic Council of the University for approval. Based on inputs received through feedback system the curriculum is regularly updated and revised. The process ensures that the market needs are built into the curriculum and that the students are market ready and at the same time also contributes to towards creating market in newer areas. In addition, this process ensures that the skill development is embedded in the revised curriculum. Further, being a research driven higher education institution, emphasis is laid on orienting students towards research. Collaboration with other national and international institutions facilitates knowledge sharing for research and curriculum development.

6.3.2 Teaching and Learning

In line with the mission and objective of the university to impart knowledge on sustainable development, the courses taught at the university have an underlying theme related to Sustainable Development. The university follows a research-led and practice-based teaching-learning system, incorporating innovative pedagogical tools for enhancing students learning and creativity. The university harnesses the best of modern technology to support the intellectual curiosity of its students and faculty. The academic programmes are envisioned to provide students a well-rounded understanding of the subject area and at the same time built upon specialised courses offered as electives. The classroom teachings are supplemented by field visits, live industry projects, internship and hands-on applications. The University has a system of quality assurance and quality enhancement through the involvement of academic peers and industry experts.

6.3.3 Examination and Evaluation

The course outlines for each of the programmes are provided to students at the commencement of the semester. The evaluation is based on a mixed set of criteria which are listed in the course outlines and is approved by the Board of Studies and the Academic Council. These include quizzes, assignments, presentations, assignment reports, minor tests and final examination. Each of the evaluation criteria carries specific weightage.

The academic calendar that is set at the beginning of the new academic year includes the dates of examination, MPEC and result declaration. The Master Programme Evaluation Committee (MPEC) consisting of programme specific faculty members, programme coordinator and chaired by the Head of the Department deliberates on the grading for each course.

The results are made available to the students individually through their personal accounts on university online portal. The evaluation procedures are extremely transparent. Test papers are always shown to the students. Marks assigned for presentation/assignments etc. are also passed on to the students.

6.3.4 Research and Development

At the Department level, there exists the Department/Centre Research Committees under the Chairmanship of the HOD to prepare and periodically review the research plans of the department/centres, such that they align with the overall vision of the university. In addition, students research at the doctoral level is monitored and facilitated by Students Research Committees which are constituted for each doctoral student. The Masters students' research is conducted as part of the Major Project within the Programme Curriculum and is supervised by the faculty.

6.3.5 Library, ICT and physical infrastructure / instrumentation

The University Library houses books, e-books, journals, databases, TERI University Ph.D. theses, photographs, newspaper clippings and multimedia resources. Digital resources are centrally organized and available to students, researchers, and faculty via single-window access on their desktops. The web interface serves as a one-stop shop where users find links to digital services and resources all in one place. The Library is equipped with the latest tools and techniques to collect, store, retrieve and disseminate information. The Library is part of network of libraries such as the DELNET which enable access to a wide array of resources. User terminals in the library permit access to the library resources and services. The library uses bar-code technology that enables automated circulation and management of resources. The library uses the web-enabled digital information system as a communication tool for providing the updated information about other libraries, list of journals, CDs, links to e-resources, news about special programs, events, feedback, and information about the library.

6.3.6 Human Resource Management

A career progression scheme ensures rewarding competent faculty through higher promotion/re-designation. Performance linked awards have been instituted to recognize efforts put in by faculty members in teaching, research and administrative contributions. The overall HR policy, while addressing motivational needs of the faculty aims to bring out high quality of contribution from faculty which intends to meet the changing requirements of the curriculum.

6.3.7 Faculty and Staff recruitment

TERI University follows an open system of faculty recruitment, where applications are invited from eligible candidates all through the year. The applications are processed and shortlisted candidates are interviewed by a Selection Committee consisting of experts in the related area and University Management. Staff are on deputation from TERI.

6.3.8 Industry Interaction / Collaboration

The University regularly engages with the industry experts and experts from other institutions e.g. consultancies, donor agencies, multilaterals etc. towards curriculum design, capacity building of various stakeholders, research and development and student placement.

6.3.9 Admission of Students

TERI University is an inter-disciplinary university committed to sustainable development. The University offers Post-Graduate and Doctoral Programmes in areas related to sustainable development. Most of the programmes are inter-disciplinary in nature and, therefore, students from different disciplines, meeting the minimum eligibility requirement for a particular programme are encouraged to apply to the various programmes. The students are admitted through a common entrance examination at all India level followed by an interview in all the programmes; group discussion is an additional component for the management programmes. The entrance examination comprises of proficiency in language, quantitative and analytical skills.

6.4 Welfare schemes for

| Teaching | Medical insurance, gratuity, Provident fund, loans, | |
|--------------|---|--|
| | sabbatical leaves, salary advance, study leaves, | |
| | nouse lease, flexi timings | |
| Non teaching | Medical insurance, gratuity, Provident fund, loans, | |
| | salary advance and house lease | |
| Students | Bank loans, scholarships, internal grants (travel) | |

| 6.5 Total corpus fund generated | 1896 Lacs | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----|--------------|----|--|
| 6.6 Whether annual financial audit ha | as been done | Yes | \checkmark | No | |

6.7 Whether Academic and Administrative Audit (AAA) has been done?

| Audit Type | External | | Internal | |
|----------------|----------|---|----------|--------------------------------|
| | Yes/No | Agency | Yes/No | Authority |
| Academic | Yes | BoS/AC | Yes | BoS/AC |
| Administrative | Yes | Sanjay Rastogi & Associates, New Delhi | Yes | Rajan K Gupta & Co., New Delhi |

| 6.8 Does the University/ Autonomous College declares results within 30 days? : | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
| For UG Programmes Yes No No | | | |
| For PG Programmes Yes ✓ No | | | |
| 6.9 What efforts are made by the University/ Autonomous College for Examination Reforms? | | | |
| This evaluation system is flexible which allows for a variety of methods of conduct of examination including closed book, and open book examination, take home assignments, presentations, etc. The evaluation system is designed depending upon the needs of the course and approved by the Academic Council. The University follows a continuous evaluation system consisting of two mid-semester examinations, one final semester examination, quizzes, class assignments etc. Evaluation and grading is done by the Course instructor and then reviewed by the Masters Programme Executive Committee (MPEC). Mid-term examination results are usually declared within 10 days of the examination. Final grades at the end of the semester are usually declared with 3 weeks of the date of the last examination. The evaluation method in each course is part of the course outline which is available on the University website for ready reference of the students. | | | |
| 6.10 What efforts are made by the University to promote autonomy in the affiliated/constituent colleges? | | | |
| N.A. 6.11 Activities and support from the Alumni Association: | | | |
| The University has a strong Alumni Association which has helped in strengthening alumni network. This network has contributed towards connecting the University existing students with the alumni and helped towards sharing relevant internship employment opportunities for students. Some of the Alumni are also recruiting the students for their Major Project internships and final placements. They also visit TU campus interactive session with the students and share their experience, learnings with them. | and and ents | | |
| 6.12 Activities and support from the Parent – Teacher Association | | | |
| No formal association exists . However need based inputs are obtained on case to case basis. | | | |
| 6.13 Development programmes for support staff | | | |
| TERI University being a small, niche area University, the management interacts with the staff daily. The issues discussed range from academic work being carried out, administrative issues, and growth of the University. For enhancing efficiency and building capacity, training programmes are held during the term end on various issues like leadership, time management etc. | | | |

| Eco Club initiative | |
|---------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

6.14 Initiatives taken by the institution to make the campus eco-friendly

Criterion - VII

7. Innovations and Best Practices

7.1 Innovations introduced during this academic year which have created a positive impact on the functioning of the institution. Give details.

Major Project Part 1 (Third Semester): A new innovative learning method was introduced in the Third semester students M.Tech Urban Development and Management Programme. The students undertook five months of intensive internship with municipal corporations in Delhi NCR, viz. South Delhi, East Delhi, Faridabad and Gurgaon. Students got exposure to the role and functioning of different departments of these municipal corporations such as finance, engineering, and town planning. Their key learnings were:

- Active involvement in on-going projects of the municipal corporations
- Enhanced capacities in terms of knowledge base, analytical skills and career opportunities
- Awareness and understanding about the challenges faced in the delivery of services and implementation of various schemes of the municipal corporations.

Outcomes of the initiative: Students prepared reports based on field investigations coupled with secondary data analysis and literature review on related best practices. Reports covered diverse thematic areas on urban development such as:

- Urban service delivery and management with a focus on ground water
- Non-motorized transport, multi-level parking
- Solid waste management including waste-to-energy technological option
- Urban village redevelopment strategy

| 7.2 | Provide the Action Taken Report (ATR) based on the plan of action beginning of the year | n decided upon at | the |
|-----|---|-------------------|-----|
| | Serial 2.5 refers | | |

| 7.3 Gi | ve two Best Practices of the institution (please see the format in the | e NAAC Self-study | Manuals) |
|--------|--|-------------------|----------|

7.3.1.1 **Title of the practice:** Major Project Part 1 (Third Semester), M.Tech Urban Development and Management Programme- Engagement with the Urban Local Bodies and Parastatals

7.3.1.2 Objectives:

• Exposure to role and functioning of municipal corporations and experience of working on solutions for cities.

7.3.1.3 **Context:**

The students undertook five months of intensive internship with municipal corporations in Delhi NCR, viz. South Delhi, East Delhi, Faridabad and Gurgaon. Students got exposure to the role and functioning of different departments of these municipal corporations such as finance, engineering, and town planning.

7.3.1.4 Practice:

- Active involvement in on-going projects of the municipal corporations
- Enhanced capacities in terms of knowledge base, analytical skills and career opportunities
- Awareness and understanding about the challenges faced in the delivery of services and implementation of various schemes of the municipal corporations.

7.3.1.5 **Evidence of success:**

Students prepared reports based on field investigations coupled with secondary data analysis and literature review on related best practices. Reports covered diverse thematic areas on urban development such as:

- Urban service delivery and management with a focus on ground water
- Non-motorized transport, multi-level parking
- Solid waste management including waste-to-energy technological option
- Urban village redevelopment strategy
- Smart and sustainable development of slum pockets.

"It gives me immense pleasure to say that the work done by TERI University students, are remarkable during their short stay at North DMC, given the time constraints and the magnitude of work. Their dedication and sincerity towards the work assigned is appreciable. I assume, TERI University will continue to imbibe the spirit of sincerity and quality work among its students."

Dr. Dinesh Kumar Kathpalia, Assistant Engineer - Civil, DEMS, North DMC

7.3.1.6 **Problems encountered:**

The understanding of multiple challenges faced by the ULBs and parastatals in the delivery of urban services and proposing necessary solutions is an intensive process.

7.3.2.1 **Title of the practice:** Building Learning in Sustainability Science (BLISS) School on Sustainability

7.3.2.2 **Objective:**

Given the mission of TERI University to deliver quality education on Sustainable Development, the University organized the BLISS school on sustainability. This was a 5 day on-campus event with the objective of building capacity among key stakeholders, particularly students and researchers.

7.3.2.3 **Context:**

BLISS 2014, under the broad theme of 'Embracing people and planet', was an attempt to train and prepare the youth for the forthcoming environmental challenges and instill in them a sensitivity towards people and planet. The school highlighted the inter-linkages between environment, economy and society and discussed different policies and solutions required in both the local and global context.

7.3.2.4 **Practice:**

The BLISS summer school was conducted both online and face-to-face simultaneously. The course pedagogy was a mix of theory and applied course modules with more practical approach. The delivery was made through interactive lectures, case discussions, problem solving exercises, and video modules.

The resource persons comprised of the faculty at TERI University, research professionals at TERI and visiting faculty from industry/academia/international organizations who possess in-depth expertise in these areas through their teaching and research.

7.3.2.5 **Evidence of success:**

A total of 150 participants attended the BLISS School though both on campus and online mode.

7.3.2.6 Problems encountered and resources required:

TERI University is a not-for-profit organization and therefore there were financial constraints in upscaling the event.

*Provide the details in annexure (annexure need to be numbered as i, ii,iii)

7.4 Contribution to environmental awareness / protection

To reduce the water demand, buildings in the campus have been provided with low flow fixtures such as dual flush toilets, low flow taps and sensor taps that would result in 25% savings in water use. Further, the wastewater generated from the hostel building equivalent to 8 KL/day will be treated through efficient biological process using a combination of microorganisms and bio-media filter. The treatment system requires low area and energy. The treated water meets the prescribed standards for landscape irrigation. Rainwater run-off from roof and the site would be used for recharge of aquifer. This would enhance the sustainable yield in areas where over-development has depleted the aquifer.

| | from roof and the site would be used for recharge of sustainable yield in areas where over-development has | • |
|---|--|----------|
| 7 | .5 Whether environmental audit was conducted? | Yes 🗸 No |

| 7.6 Any other relevant information the institut | ion wishes to add. (for example SWOT Analysis) |
|---|--|
| NA | > |
| 3. Plans of institution for next year | |
| Launch Scholarship scheme. | |
| Introduce Summer School Programme . | |
| Strengthen Interdisciplinarity by regular co | urriculum review. |
| NameArmKonsul | Name LEEUN SRIVASTAUA |
| DRARUN KANSAL | Leve Tursbra |
| Signature of the Coordinator, IQAC | Signature of the Chairperson, IQA |
| | *** |

Academic calendar

Semester schedule for the Academic Year 2014/15

| Sl. No. | Event | Dates |
|------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. | Orientation and registration for 1st | 21 July 2014 |
| | semester 2014/15 - Ph.D., M.Sc., | 210029 2011 |
| | M.B.A., M.A. and M.Tech programmes | |
| 2. | Commencement of classes | 22 July 2014 |
| 3. | First minor tests | 25 - 30 August 2014 |
| 4. | Display of marks – first minor tests | 5 September 2014 |
| 5. | Study tours (where relevant) | 21 September – 28 September 2014 |
| 6. | Break | 29 September – 05 October 2014 |
| 7. | Second minor tests | 13 - 18 October 2014 |
| 8. | Display of marks - second minor tests | 24 October 2014 |
| 9. | Last day of classes | 22 November 2014 |
| 10. | Final tests | 24 November – 6 December 2014 |
| 11. | MPEC meetings | 22 December 2014 |
| 12. | Display of grades | 29 December 2014 |
| 13. | Meetings of SRCs | 22 – 27 December 2014 |
| 14. | Registration for second semester | 5 January 2015 |
| 15. | Commencement of all classes & second | 6 January 2015 |
| | semester | • |
| 16. | First minor tests | 9 – 14 February 2015 |
| 17. | Display of marks - first minor tests | 20 February 2015 |
| 18. | Study tours + Mid semester break | 01 – 08 March 2015 |
| 19. | Open week for parents and prospective | 23 – 28 March 2015 |
| | students to meet VC/Registrar/Faculty | |
| | members | |
| 20. | Second minor tests | 30 March – 4 April 2015 |
| 21. | Display of marks – second minor tests | 10 April 2015 |
| 22. | Last day of classes | 2 May 2015 |
| 23. | Final tests | 4 – 16 May 2015 |
| 24. | MPEC meetings | 01 June 2015 |
| 25. | Display of grades | 08 June 2015 |
| 26. | Meetings of SRCs | 13 – 17 July 2015 |
| 27. | Orientation and registration for first semester 2014/15 | 20 July 2015 |
| 28. | Commencement of classes | 21 July 2015 |

| Course ID | Course Name | Faculty Name | No.of Students | Feedb ack | WTG |
|-----------|---|--------------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| 277 | Renewable energy conversion technologies - I(ENR 124) | xxxxxxx | 24 | 20 | 2.66 |
| 627 | Water economics and financial management(WSW 122) | xxxxxx | 19 | 18 | 2.95 |
| 69 | Economics of regulation: theory and evidence(PPM 147) | xxxxxx | 4 | 3 | 2.96 |
| 295 | Game theory(MPE 147) | xxxxxx | 23 | 22 | 3.15 |
| 555 | Geoinformatics for Urban Development(MEU 172) | xxxxxx | 20 | 18 | 3.24 |
| 278 | Renewable energy conversion technologies - II(ENR 126) | xxxxxx | 24 | 20 | 3.37 |
| 542 | Climate change: Vulnerability, Impacts Adaptation & Resilience(NRC 182) | xxxxxxx | 21 | 19 | 3.48 |
| 558 | Regeneration and City Competitiveness(MEU 154) | xxxxxxx | 17 | 17 | 3.51 |
| 490 | Water quality management (NRE 142) | xxxxxxx | 12 | 12 | 3.58 |
| 554 | City and Regional Planning and Management(MEU 152) | xxxxxxx | 17 | 17 | 3.59 |
| 342 | Community relationship(PPM 182) | xxxxxxx | 24 | 18 | 3.61 |
| 247 | Environmental economics(MPP 147) | xxxxxxx | 16 | 16 | 3.62 |
| 616 | Strategic communication(PPS 109) | xxxxxxx | 16 | 10 | 3.63 |
| 488 | Solid and hazardous waste management(NRE 189) | xxxxxxx | 23 | 22 | 3.64 |
| 275 | Energy auditing, energy efficiency and energy conservation(ENR 114) | xxxxxxx | 24 | 20 | 3.65 |
| 631 | Geoinformatics for water resources(WSW 172) | xxxxxxx | 12 | 11 | 3.66 |
| 380 | Integrated impact assessment(MPD 145) | xxxxxxx | 24 | 22 | 3.67 |
| 263 | Economics of natural resources(MPE 146) | xxxxxxx | 23 | 19 | 3.7 |
| 651 | Energy efficient buildings(MEU 112) | xxxxxxx | 10 | 10 | 3.71 |
| 564 | Sustainable Urban Transport(MEU 144) | xxxxxx | 24 | 18 | 3.71 |
| 626 | Traditional knowledge and water management(WSW 142) | xxxxxxx | 19 | 18 | 3.75 |
| 440 | Customer relationship management(PPM 154) | xxxxxx | 4 | 3 | 3.81 |
| 279 | Applied numerical methods (thru | xxxxxxx | 24 | 22 | 3.82 |

| | MATLAB)(ENR 172) | | | | |
|------|---|---------|-----|-----|------|
| 633 | Water supply and | xxxxxxx | 17 | 16 | 3.82 |
| | sanitation(WSW 184) | 700000 | = 7 | | 0.02 |
| 474 | Environmental health and risk | xxxxxxx | 20 | 19 | 3.83 |
| | assessment(NRE 144) | | | | |
| 258 | Air pollution and climate | xxxxxxx | 8 | 8 | 3.86 |
| | change(NRC 134) | | | | |
| 639 | Water audit and demand | xxxxxxx | 19 | 18 | 3.87 |
| | management(WSW 124) | | | | |
| 635 | Industrial pollution control(WSW | xxxxxxx | 13 | 12 | 3.88 |
| | 132) | | | | |
| 612 | Society, Development and Social | xxxxxxx | 23 | 15 | 3.88 |
| | Policy(PPS 106) | | | | |
| 481 | Basic course in environmental | xxxxxxx | 49 | 47 | 3.89 |
| | and resource economics(NRE | | | | |
| | 141) | | | | |
| 637 | Integrated watershed and river | xxxxxxx | 15 | 14 | 3.89 |
| | basin management(WSW 164) | | | | |
| 183 | Plant biotechnology laboratory - | xxxxxxx | 7 | 5 | 3.89 |
| | Part 2(BBP 102) | | | | |
| 265 | Econometrics(MPE 172) | XXXXXXX | 23 | 22 | 3.9 |
| 280 | Statistics for engineers(ENR 174) | XXXXXXX | 27 | 24 | 3.9 |
| 640 | Wetland conservation and | XXXXXXX | 8 | 8 | 3.9 |
| | management(WSW 168) | | | | |
| 650 | Urban water supply and waste | xxxxxx | 18 | 12 | 3.93 |
| | water(MEU 178) | | | | |
| 439 | Corporate finance(PPM 122) | xxxxxxx | 26 | 22 | 3.95 |
| 485 | Biodiversity assessment and | XXXXXXX | 21 | 17 | 3.96 |
| 40.4 | conservation(NRE 123) | | 40 | 40 | 2.07 |
| 484 | Air quality management(NRE | XXXXXXX | 13 | 13 | 3.97 |
| 200 | 134) | | 22 | 24 | 2.07 |
| 390 | Indian economics and | XXXXXXX | 23 | 21 | 3.97 |
| 249 | development(MPE 141) | | 21 | 10 | 2.07 |
| 348 | Key concepts of cultural and political ecology(MPD 126) | XXXXXXX | 21 | 19 | 3.97 |
| 634 | Water quality modelling and | \ | 12 | 10 | 3.97 |
| 054 | application(WSW 176) | XXXXXXX | 12 | 10 | 3.97 |
| 628 | Water related disasters: | XXXXXXX | 19 | 18 | 3.97 |
| 028 | management and planning(WSW | ***** | 19 | 10 | 3.97 |
| | 152) | | | | |
| 340 | Contemporary issues in change | XXXXXXX | 22 | 15 | 3.99 |
| 3.0 | management(PPM 186) | 700000 | | | 3.55 |
| 636 | Glacier hydrology(WSW 134) | XXXXXXX | 7 | 6 | 3.99 |
| 76 | Management information | XXXXXXX | 14 | 14 | 4 |
| | system(PPM 171) | | - ' | - ' | ' |
| 350 | Group practicum 2(MPD 102) | xxxxxxx | 22 | 17 | 4.01 |

NRE 144-Environmental Health and Risk Assessment

Faculty: Dr Suresh Jain (No. of feedback = 25 Total Students = 29)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.48 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.20 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.32 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.76 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.19 |

| 1. In terconce 2. Instruarticu 3. Instruwas | rms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental epts, the lectures were uctor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and ulation was | 4.36 |
|---|---|------|
| 2. Instruarticu 3. Instruwas | epts, the lectures were uctor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and | |
| 3. Instruwas | - | 4.32 |
| was | | |
| 4. The i | uctor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility | 4.36 |
| | instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.40 |
| | uragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, ally and objectively was | 4.63 |
| 6. Instr | uctor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.60 |
| | availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class s were | 4.76 |
| 8. Instr | uctor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.63 |
| 9. The 1 | ectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.68 |
| 10. The o | overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.12 |

| Overall Average | 4.49 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.55 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.35 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.73 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.68 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.74 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.45 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.78 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.73 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.38 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.32 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.52 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.48 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.44 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.50 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.45 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

- Till date no lab work was done, but field work was planned and guided at students
- Lab not applicable
- These have been no field trips. Although I appreciate the concept of demonstrating videos and pictures in class
- There has been no field trip as of now

Examination/Tests

- Have not received the evaluation results, henceforth can't evaluate the examination
- Can't really say about grading and evaluation, as we haven't got the result

General Comments

- The practical applicability of the course and research
- Dislike: Repetitive and less frequent classes
- The project work is very interesting
- It would be really helpful if assignments/case studies are promoted in the class
- Interactive way of teaching is highlight of this course
- The project assessment
- It clear and good
- It helped us in understanding the application of the subject and presented various challenges that still prevail in the application of theoretical knowledge
- Tutorials-The tutorials are very helpful in understanding the practical aspect of the subject. I feel a little more emphasis on solving tutorials shall prove more helpful and a bit more time should be given for the same.
- The application based approached coupled with assignment which makes us think. Moreover, the tutorial class gives us confidence that we can do numerical problems especially to people with non-math background.
- The course has project component, help us relate the subject and broaden our thought process
- I liked the health aspect that is related to environment. It is very important to quantify and understand the quality of pollutants that we face in day to day life.
- It would help to carry out the risk assessment and to understand the importance of going with a correct methodology
- The best part about the course is that it is completely application based.
- We have a project we are currently working on as part of the course work and it has taught me a lot. This is the first time we are doing field work and learning how to work in this field
- It addresses the recent and very pressing issues of risk assessment and risk management
- The course in interesting and the project work is really helpful

NRE 134 - Air quality management

Faculty: Dr Suresh Jain (No. of feedback = 24 Total Students = 27)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.13 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.00 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.25 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.00 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.13 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.21 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.13 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.43 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.38 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.67 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.63 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.58 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.83 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.21 |

| Overall Average | 4.42 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.33 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.20 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.23 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.71 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.60 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.87 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.25 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.21 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.17 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.54 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.42 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.36 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.27 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.35 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

- Not applicable as of now
- Till date no field work was done, but discussion and implementation of the field work in research was discussed at students end.

Examination/Tests

- Examination level was good but there is problem in relating the questions coming in exams and the teaching done in class.
- Haven't received the grades, yet

General Comments

- So far it is good and clean
- I think it is technical and I find it difficult
- This course was enhanced and increased my knowledge and interest for the subject
- Dislike: Progressing very slowly
- DSDS 2014 had some components related to Air Pollution and Climate Change which was enriching
- The course is very practical. It is extremely important from the point of view of minor project as it has a good level of industrial importance.
- Self-study is always important for self-analysis of classroom teaching
- If more practicals would have been there, it would have been better
- Laboratory work should also be a part of this course
- Projects are given which are helping do understand things practically
- Practical aspect are make clear
- The course provides practical and applied knowledge along with theoretical knowledge
- General conceptualization of the air quality and understanding its importance and vulnerability
- Project to be held in mid-March
- I like that we learn about the connection between methodology and air pollution, which I didn't realize earlier.
- Also, social angle is also brought in which makes it wholesome subject in its scope
- Like-Certain topics are ?; Dislike: Slow progress especially when compared to the other courses

NRG 172 - Digital image processing and information extraction

Faculty: Dr Chander Kumar Singh (No. of feedback = 13

Total Students

= 13)

| | Course Organisation | Average |
|----|--|---------|
| | | Score |
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.08 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.15 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.23 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.15 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.15 |

| | | Average Score |
|----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.85 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.54 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.85 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.77 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.77 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.92 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.92 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.85 |

| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.92 |
|-----------------|--|------|
| Overall Average | | 3.84 |

| | | Average Score |
|----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.85 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.92 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.08 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.77 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.85 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.92 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.15 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.15 |
| Ov | erall Average | 3.96 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.08 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.00 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.08 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.08 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.15 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.08 |

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

Examination/Tests

- About the course structure. It is very much relevant to the course
- Would life if sir could explain each practical in class on projector so that we can get a better understanding of the practical
- Yes, it would be helpful
- The lectures were not adequate and lab work were not done
 There was lack of clarity in teaching
- No field trips

NRG 170 - Photogrammetry

Faculty: Dr Anu Rani Sharma (No. of feedback = 13 Total Students = 13)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.08 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.77 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.85 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.62 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.83 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.77 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.23 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.46 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 3.38 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.15 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.31 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.92 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.46 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.54 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.46 |

| Overall Average | 3.47 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.85 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.31 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.38 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.23 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.62 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.62 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.69 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.77 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.56 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.46 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.08 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.38 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 2.77 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.08 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.15 |

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

Examination/Tests

- Distribution of marks is not done properlyLiked the way madam had explained the numericals

NRG 174 - Spatial data modelling and GIS applications

Faculty: Dr Bakim Oinam (No. of feedback = 14 Total Students = 13)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.79 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.71 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.86 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.86 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.80 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.71 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.43 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.21 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.57 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.57 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.36 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.71 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.36 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.57 |
| | | |

| Overall Average | 4.50 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.64 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.29 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.71 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.36 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.36 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.93 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.14 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.43 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.36 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.43 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.43 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.43 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.57 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.36 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.44 |

Course Content

• Very good study material

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

• There should be field work on DGPS and more mobile mapping technologies

Examination/Tests

- It is helpful for doing practicals
- It is a realistic knowledge
- The practicals are really very interesting and is helpful for us to link it with the theory
- It is helpful to relate it to the practicals and applied knowledge
- If provides us with a tool to work in GIS domain
- About the computer systems which are available in the laboratory. They are slow classes should be more frequent.
- The availability of teacher after class for doubts in practice. The frequency of classes is very less.
- Just one class in a week
- Slow working of the computer
- It helped to learn new tools to acquire new skills
- Like the tutorials lectures prepared
- Dislike the absence of field work
- Dislike: Too much was fed at same time
- Yes, it would be helpful
- Lab assignments were not taught in a well manner
- Many topics covered in a day

NRG 160 - Law and policy for maps and remote sensing

Faculty: Mr MV Shiju (No. of feedback = 13 Total Students = 13)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.54 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.54 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.62 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.54 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.56 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.69 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.62 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.62 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.62 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.62 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.69 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.46 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.69 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.69 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.62 |

| Overall Average | 4.63 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.38 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.54 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.46 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.54 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.46 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.31 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.38 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.62 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.46 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.77 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.77 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.69 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.85 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.77 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.77 |

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

Examination/Tests

- The attitude of the instructors towards the courses and the class, his punctuality and knowledge
- The way of teaching is excellent and the explanation about the course is very good
- Style of teaching
- Very clear way of teaching

NRE 123 - Biodiversity assessment and conservation

Faculty: Dr Joachim Schmerbeck (No. of feedback = 06 Total Students = 11)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.83 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.67 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.83 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.67 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.75 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.67 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.67 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.50 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.17 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.00 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.33 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.33 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.33 |

| Overall Average | 4.10 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.00 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.20 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.50 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.33 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.33 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.27 |

| Course Content | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| Presentation & Interaction | | |
| Tutorials | | |
| Laboratories/Field Work | | |

ibolutolies, liela vvolk

• Courses like biodiversity should have more practical hours. This should be included in the fee structure

Examination/Tests

• Other examinations should follow this model

- Papers that were assigned encouraged further investigations and inspired fresh outlooks at issues taken for granted otherwise.
- I like the field work and the hands on approach
- I disliked the lack of time spent on the same. It requires more of a field components

NRE 142 - Water quality management

Faculty: Dr Arun Kansal (No. of feedback = 13 Total Students = 25)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.31 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.31 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.38 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.85 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.21 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.23 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.46 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.38 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.08 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.62 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 3.85 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.08 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.46 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.00 |
| | | |

| Overall Average | 4.12 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.40 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.80 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.80 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 2.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.40 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.75 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.71 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.23 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.15 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.08 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.77 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.05 |

Course Content

| Presentation & Interaction |
|----------------------------|
| Tutorials |
| No tutorials |
| |
| Laboratories/Field Work |
| No lab work |
| Not applicable |
| Examination/Tests |
| General Comments |

NRE 189 - Solid and hazardous waste management

Faculty: Dr Arun Kansal (No. of feedback = 16 Total Students = 29)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.19 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.13 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.38 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.75 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.11 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.31 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.19 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.31 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.06 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.07 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.63 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.13 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.06 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.19 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.13 |
| | | |

| Overall Average | 4.11 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.57 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.43 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.71 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.57 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.86 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.71 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.86 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.07 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.07 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.79 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.93 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.85 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.94 |

| Course Content |
|----------------------------|
| Presentation & Interaction |
| Tutorials |
| Laboratories/Field Work |
| Not applicable |
| Examination/Tests |
| The exam was a little long |
| General Comments |
| |

NRE 185 - Water conservation

Ms Ranjana Roy Choudhary

(No. of feedback = 03

Total Students =05)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 5.00 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.67 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 5.00 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.33 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.75 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 5.00 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 5.00 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 5.00 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 5.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.67 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 5.00 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.33 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 5.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 5.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 5.00 |

| Overall Average | 4.90 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.33 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 5.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 5.00 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 5.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 5.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.33 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 5.00 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.71 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.67 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.67 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.33 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 5.00 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 5.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.73 |

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

• More laboratory/field work required

Examination/Tests

- 1. The assignments were interesting and inspired me to more into the topics covered in class
- 2. The indepth knowledge of the professor and enthusiasm to impart the knowledge to the students
- 3. The course being very new to me helped me in understanding the application prospect of the structures and designs we have about in our theory classes and their significance
- 4. I liked the object oriented teaching. Whatever discussion we have during our classes we do not wonder and stick to the objective of the course which helps us in understanding the various aspects of the different components of the objective of the course.
- 5. Like: Clarity in concepts explained
- 6. Dislike: Nothing as of now

NRE 162 - Hydrology

Faculty: Ms Ranjana Roy Choudhary = 33)

(No. of feedback =27

Total Students

| | Course Organisation | Average |
|----|--|---------|
| | | Score |
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.48 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.67 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.64 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.50 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.57 |

| 1. | Presentation and Interaction | |
|----|---|------|
| | | |
| | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.46 |
| | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.68 |
| | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.56 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.39 |
| | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.59 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.67 |
| | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.52 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.67 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.63 |

| 10. The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.46 |
|--|------|
| Overall Average | 4.56 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.57 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.62 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.14 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.62 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.31 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.00 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.31 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.31 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.36 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.52 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.26 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.30 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.42 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.30 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.32 |

Course Content

• Teaching speed is high expect her to reduce her speed

Presentation & Interaction

- It would be nice, if she can reduce her speed
- Although I like the subject and the professor is taking well, I would appreciate it, if the teacher covered the course a little slowly

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

• Not applicable

Examination/Tests

• Ma'am's speed was good enough initially but in the last 2-3 classes, she caught in a hurry

- Dislike: I feel that she is going fast, which is difficult for most of the students to keep up
- Good, I got reminded of my school days
- Very interesting
- Extremely interesting
- Questions and interactions in class
- Ma'am goes a bit fast a few times
- Easy interaction with the teacher

NRE 112 - Multivariate data analysis

Faculty: Prof. Prateek Sharma (No. of feedback = 15 Total Students = 41)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.53 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.47 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.60 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.40 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.50 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.53 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.40 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.64 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.43 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.73 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.40 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.50 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.57 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.29 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.57 |
| | | |

| Overall Average | 4.51 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.64 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.50 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.33 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.55 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.50 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.42 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.58 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.50 |
| Ov | erall Average | 4.50 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.57 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.50 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.77 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.64 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.64 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.62 |

| Course Content | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Presentation & Interaction | |
| Tutorials | |
| Laboratories/Field Work | |
| Examination/Tests | |

- A lot of knowledge is related to my research studies and have provided new insights for the same.
- Best subject and awesome teacher
- The way the tutor makes the subject easy

NRC 132 - Mitigation of climate change

Faculty: Dr Kamna Sachdeva (No. of feedback = 05 Total Students = 08)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.50 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.00 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.50 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.50 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.38 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 3.60 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.00 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.00 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.20 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.40 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.20 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.40 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.00 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.20 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.80 |

| Overall Average | 4.08 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.50 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.75 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.25 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 3.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 3.75 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 3.75 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 3.50 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.56 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.00 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.40 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.40 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.20 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.00 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.20 |

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

• The guest faculty which was called, helped us a lot. Madam arranges very nice lectures for us and also expose us to various helpful seminars

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

Examination/Tests

- I would for sure like to visit TERI, IHC, once to learn recent developments in mitigation strategies
- Madam creates a very friendly vibe in the class which adds to the fun element of learning and eventually improves our attention in the class.

NRC 134 - Air pollution and climate change

Faculty: Dr Suresh Jain (No. of feedback = 05 Total Students = 07)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 3.80 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 3.60 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 3.40 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.80 |
| Ove | erall Average | 3.65 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.00 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 3.60 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 3.80 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.00 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 3.80 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 3.80 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.20 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.80 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.00 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 3.80 |

| Overall Average | 3.88 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----------------|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 3.75 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 3.75 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 3.75 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.00 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.00 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 3.75 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.00 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.00 |
| Overall Average | | 3.88 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----------------|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.00 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.00 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.40 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.67 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 3.67 |
| Overall Average | | 3.75 |

Course Content

• I would want sir to start taking separate classes for our subject and not only carry on with combined classes with air Quality Management

Presentation & Interaction

• The best part is sir not only gives us bookish knowledge but also real life examples

Tutorials

- The kind of effort sir puts in explaining stuff to every single student individually is remarkable
- Only one tutorial given, cannot comment/rate

Laboratories/Field Work

Examination/Tests

• Sir encourages us not to remain confined to books which proves useful to us

- In my view, the kind of teaching sir follows feels like we ask actually into the field and working. The kind of examples he give from his experience is useful and interesting
- I adore his teaching style. The lectures does not feel heavy but its like a fun interaction

NRE 141 - Basic course in environmental and resource economics

Faculty: Dr Eshita Gupta (No. of feedback = 16 Total Students =39)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 2.88 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 2.69 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 2.81 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 3.19 |
| Ove | erall Average | 2.89 |

| | | Average Score |
|----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 2.63 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 2.69 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 2.63 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 2.50 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 2.63 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 2.40 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 2.88 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 3.13 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 3.19 |

| 10. The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 2.56 |
|--|------|
| Overall Average 2.72 | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 2.38 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 2.00 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 2.14 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 2.14 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 2.57 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 2.57 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 2.57 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 2.29 |
| Ove | erall Average | 2.33 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 3.29 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 3.00 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 3.29 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 3.25 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 2.85 |
| Ove | rall Average | 3.13 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

Presentation & Interaction

Tutorials

Laboratories/Field Work

• Not applicable

Examination/Tests

General Comments

- She is not clear, its really hard to understand there is no flow or a proper structure. I don't understand what she is trying to say even after I put my effort to listens
- Very fast, all going above our heads!
- Didn't get any yet. Even had we got one it would have been very difficult as the subject is very new for us and we are not able to understand anything.
- Dislike: Everything; reason: Cannot understand any concept
- The teacher has been trying really hard to make the course interesting

NRC 182 - Climate change: Vulnerability, Impacts Adaptation & Resilience

Faculty: Dr Chubamenla Jamir (No. of feedback = 05 Total Students = 06)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 5.00 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.60 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.40 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.20 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.55 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.60 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.40 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.60 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.80 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.80 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.80 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.80 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.80 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.80 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.40 |

| Overall Average | 4.68 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.33 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.33 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.67 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.33 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.33 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.67 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.33 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | 4.67 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.46 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 5.00 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 5.00 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 5.00 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 5.00 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.60 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.92 |

Additional Comments

Course Content

| Presentation & Interaction |
|-------------------------------------|
| Tutorials |
| Laboratories/Field Work |
| Examination/Tests • She is awesome |
| General Comments |
| She is just perfect a teacher |

NRE 172 - Principles of geoinformatics

Faculty: Prof. PK Joshi (No. of feedback = 17 Total Students = 39)

| | Course Organisation | Average Score |
|-----|--|------------------|
| 1 | Objectives and plan of the course were specified | 4.71 |
| 2 | Coverage and depth of course plan was | 4.53 |
| 3 | The topics provided new knowledge | 4.59 |
| 4 | Prescribed reading material was available | 4.12 |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.49 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|---|---------------|
| | Presentation and Interaction | |
| 1. | In terms of organisation, clarity and presentation of fundamental concepts, the lectures were | 4.71 |
| 2. | Instructor's oral presentation in terms of audibility and articulation was | 4.65 |
| 3. | Instructor's presentation in terms of organization and legibility was | 4.53 |
| 4. | The instructors efforts to make this subject more interesting were | 4.53 |
| 5. | Encouragement given by the Instructor to think and reason, logically and objectively was | 4.59 |
| 6. | Instructor's response to the questions asked in the class hours was | 4.59 |
| 7. | The availability and approachability of the Instructor outside class hours were | 4.29 |
| 8. | Instructor's attitude towards teaching of this course was | 4.76 |
| 9. | The lectures were held on time as per the schedule | 4.47 |
| 10. | The overall quality of teaching in this course was | 4.75 |

| Overall Average | 4.59 |
|-----------------|------|
| | |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Tutorials and Practicals | |
| 1. | The correlation of tutorials with lectures were | 4.25 |
| 2. | Clarifications on basic concepts taught in the lectures were | 4.75 |
| 3. | The tutorials helped to learn problem solving in a methodical way | 4.25 |
| 4. | The Instructor's attitude towards taking tutorials | 4.50 |
| 5. | Discussions between teacher and students were | 4.25 |
| 6. | Your preparation (in terms of reading lectures notes/books and attempting problems) before coming to the tutorials was | 4.50 |
| 7. | Your participation in terms of asking questions doubts and clarifications of doubts was | 4.25 |
| 8. | Overall, the tutorials were | |
| Ove | erall Average | 4.38 |

| | | Average Score |
|-----|--|---------------|
| | Examination/Tests | |
| 1. | The tests reflected the course plan | 4.13 |
| 2. | Rather than rote learning, understanding was tested | 4.25 |
| 3. | Examinations were of appropriate level/length | 4.25 |
| 4. | The grading was fair and transparent | 4.43 |
| 5. | The evaluations helped in understanding the subject better | 4.63 |
| Ove | rall Average | 4.34 |

Additional Comments

| Course Content | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Presentation & Interaction | |
| Tutorials | |
| Laboratories/Field Work | |
| Examination/Tests | |

Like: Excellent teaching

General Comments

- The subject being very new to me helped me understand it better when I undertook assignment etc.
- Like: Confinement of the objective and strict adherence to it
- Dislike: too much map training

| 24 June 2015 | Celebrating International Yoga Day at the TERI Uni | TERI University |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| 27 April 2015 | Institutional seminar on "Third Pole and its Water | TERI University |
| 21 April 2015 | Institutional seminar on "Swachh Bharat Mission an | TERI University |
| 17 April 2015 | Workshop on "Qualitative Research Methods" | TERI University |
| 11 April 2015 | Pravaah 2015 - Annual Students' conclave | TERI University |
| 7 April 2015 | Institutional seminar "Use of SCADA and ICT tools | TERI University |
| 23 March 2015 | Institutional seminar "Challenges in the Sanitatio | TERI University |
| 20 March 2015 | S.W.A.S.H 2015 - Save Water and Save Humanity | TERI University |
| 17 March 2015 | Workshop on Business Communication | TERI University |
| 16 March 2015 | BLISS 2015: Towards Cleaner and Greener Societies | TERI University |
| 20 February 2015 | Interaction of TERI University Students with Stude | TERI University |
| 17 February 2015 | River Bank Filtration-Measures For providing Clean | TERI University |
| 12 February 2015 | Two days seminar on "Building climate resilient ci | TERI University |
| 5 February 2015 | Young Researchers' South Asian Symposium on Sustai | TERI University |
| 4 February 2015 | Seventh Convocation Ceremony | TERI University |
| 23 January 2015 | Saraswati Pooja Celebrations in TU | TERI University |
| 19 January 2015 | Biotikos 2015 | TERI University |
| 1 December 2014 | Course on Vegetation Science and Site Classificati | TERI University |
| 17 November 2014 | REtopia 2014 | TERI University |
| 16 November 2014 | Reminiscence 2014 - the TERI University's Annual A | TERI University |
| 14 November 2014 | TERI University Book Fair 2014 | TERI University |
| 14 November 2014 | EnVenture 2014 | TERI University |
| 6 November 2014 | Two-day training programme on Outdoor/Indoor Air Q | TERI University |

| 31 October 2014 | Rastriya Ekta Diwas Celebrated by TERI University | TERI University |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| 20 October 2014 | Ethnic Day | TERI University |
| 20 October 2014 | Rangoli Competition | TERI University |
| 11 October 2014 | Vegetation Science Seminar | TERI University |
| 8 October 2014 | Environment and Social Exclusion | TERI University |
| 15 September 2014 | Pan-India Inter-University Competition on Water Re | TERI University |
| 9 September 2014 | Celebrating Onam at the TERI University | TERI University |
| 4 September 2014 | LIERLUNIVERSITY SPORTS MEET 2014 | TERI University Amphitheatre |
| 2 September 2014 | GRAND FRESHERS' EVE 2014 | TERI University |
| 13 August 2014 | Independence Day Celebrations on Campus | TERI Univesity |
| 12 August 2014 | Green Drive for a Secured Future | TERI University |
| 5 August 2014 | <u>Discussion on the science of the</u> <u>Intergovernmental</u> | TERI University |
| 22 July 2014 | TERI University and IL&FS Academy of Applied Devel | TERI University |
| 6 July 2014 | Launch of SDSN South Asia | TERI University |

TERI UNIVERSITY New Delhi STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AS AT 31ST MARCH 2015 Amount in INR As on 31.3.2015 As of As on 31.3.2014 Schedule Sources of Funds Grant From TERI Opening Balance Received during the year 82,742,701 82,742,701 82,742,701 Corpus Fund - Wildlife Conservations Trust 2,500,000 2,500,000 2,500,000 44,639,524.00 4,463,953.00 Corpus Fund - Coca Cola Less : 10% Transferred for Project Activities 40,175,571 50,209,672 64,246,849 Income & Expenditure Account 189,665,121 135,452,373 Total Application of Funds Fixed Assets 53,361,512 1,773,158 Balance as on 31.03.2015 1 51,588,354 51,622,901 Less: Depreciation Investments Fixed Deposits & Bonds 107,099,000 161,739,000 Current Assets, Loans & Advances 2 111,535,355 Current Liabilities and Provisions Liabilities 3 135,197,588 **Net Current Assets** 23,662,233 -23,269,528 135,452,373 189,665,121 Significant Accounting Policies and Notes to the Accounts Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date Sanjay Rastogi For and on behalf of Dr. Rajiv Seth Acting Vice Chancellor Sanjay Rastogi & Associates Chartered Accountants, New Delhi Place : New Delhi Date : 30/09/2015

TERI UNIVERSITY New Delhi Income & Expenditure Account for the year ended on March 31, 2015

| | | (Amour | nt in INR) |
|--|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Schedule | As on 31.3.2015 | As on 31.3.2014 |
| Income | | | |
| Academic Receipts | 4 (a) | 79,216,672 | 77,384,346 |
| Interest Receipts | 4 (b) | 15,156,151 | 11,098,230 |
| Others Receipts | 4 (c) | 10,305,374 | 10,357,783 |
| Receipts from Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop | | 104,373,548 | 54,169,815 |
| | | | |
| Total Income | | 209,051,745 | 153,010,174 |
| | | | |
| Expenditure | | | |
| Salary | 5 | 63,915,854 | 48,754,751 |
| Academic Course Expenses | 6 | 18,683,910 | 16,237,864 |
| Office Contigencies & Miscellaneous | 7 | 42,333,820 | 46,091,770 |
| Expenditure of Completed Research Projects/Training Programme/Seminars & Workshop | | 68,307,826 | 19,029,325 |
| Depreciation | | 1,773,158 | 2,183,843 |
| Total Expediture | | 195,014,568 | 132,297,553 |
| Excess of Receipts over Expenditure (Surplus) | | 14,037,177 | 20,712,621 |
| Balance available for Appropriation | | 14,037,177 | 20,712,621 |
| Less : Transferred in to Reserve & Surplus Account | | 14,037,177 | 20,712,621 |
| Surplus/Deficit brought forward | | 50,209,672 | 29,497,051 |
| Surplus/ Deficit Carried over to Income & Expenditure | | 64,246,849 | 50,209,672 |
| | | | |

Dhanraj Sin Finance Offi

Schedule 1 to 8 form an integral part of the Accounts

This is the balance sheet referred to in our report of even date

Sanjay Rastogi For and on behalf of Sanjay Rastogi & Associates Chartered Accountants, New Delhi

Place : New Delhi
Date : 30/08/2015

Dr. Rajiv Seth Acting Vice Chancellor

| のないというというというというというないというできるというないというというというというというというというというというというというというという | S | Schedule 1 - Fixed Assets (Gross) | Assets (Gross) | | | | NO. |
|--|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Particulars Rate | W.D.V. | Add | Additions | | Balance | Depreciation | W.D.V. |
| | | | more than | Discarded | as on | for the | 34 03 15 |
| | 01.04.2014 | 180 days | 180 days | | 31.03.15 | year | 01:00:10 |
| | | | | | 1 561 640 | 750 112 | 811.537 |
| 09 | 938,725 | 622,924 | | | 250,100,1 | | |
| Computer (project) 60 | 23,115 | | | | 23,115 | 13,869 | 9,246 |
| Office Equipment 15 | 4,019,664 | 34 787,279 | 124,805 | | 4,931,748 | 680,716 | 4,251,032 |
| Office Equipment (Project) 15 | 2,390,018 | 9,450 | 18,000 | 1 | 2,417,468 | 361,911 | 2,055,557 |
| Scientific Equipment 15 | 582, | 30,000 | 17,663 | | 630,545 | 92,332 | 538,213 |
| Scientific Equipment (project) 15 | 7,387,725 | 25 | | | 7,387,725 | 1,108,159 | 6,279,566 |
| 10 | 2,431,093 | 93 137,756 | | 1 | 2,568,849 | 249,997 | 2,318,852 |
| 10 | | 72 | | 1 | 42,872 | 4,287 | 38,585 |
| Furniture (Fr0)ect) | 43.634 | 798 | | | 43,634,798 | 1 | 43,634,798 |
| endin | | | | | 10000 | | 22 024 |
| Capital Work in Progress - Mysore Campus | 15,737 | 37 18,184 | 4 | 1 | 33,921 | | 33,921 |
| | | | 3 160.468 | | 63,232,690 | 3,261,384 | 59,971,306 |

| | W.D.V. | AS OIL | 31.03.13 | 811,537 | 000 | 4,251,033 | 538,213 | 2.318.852 | 002, 100, 01 | 43,034,130 | 33,921 | 51,588,354 | | | Ason | 31.03.15 | 9,246 | | 2,031,515 | 6,303,607 | | 38,585 | 0 202 053 | | |
|---|--|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|--|-------------|-----------|------------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---|
| State of the last | Depreciation | for the | year | 750,112 | | 680,717 | 92,332 | 700 040 | 00,012 | | • | 1,773,158 | | Depreciation | for the | year | 13,869 | | 358,503 | 1111,568 | | 4,287 | | 1,488,227 | |
| | Balance | as on | 31.03.15 | 1 561 649 | 0.000 | 4,931,750 | 630,545 | | 2,508,649 | 43,634,798 | 33,921 | 53,361,512 | | Balance | as on | 31.03.15 | 23 115 | 22,172 | 2,390,018 | 7 445 175 | 7,413,173 | 42,872 | | 9,871,180 | |
| conress | (con mos | Discarded | | | | | | | | | • | | | ojects) | Discarded | Discar de | | | | | | | | - | |
| Oum Day | Troill Own No | than than | | | | 124,805 | 17 663 | 200,71 | | , | | 142.468 | | unded from Pr | OUS thou | 180 days | | | | | 18,000 | 1 | | 18,000 | |
| | Assets (Funded I | | less than | | 622,924 | 787.279 | | 30,000 | 137,756 | | 18,184 | 4 506 443 | 041,066,1 | Schedule 1 - Fixed Assets (Funded from Projects) | Additions | less than | of man | 1 | | | 9,450 | | | 9,450 | TO STATE OF |
| | Schedule 1 - Fixed Assets (Funded from Own Nesson and | W.D.V. | As on 2014 | - | 938,725 | 4 010 666 | 200,510,4 | 582,882 | 2,431,093 | 43 634 798 | 15,737 | | 51,622,901 | Schedule 1 - F | W.D.V. | As on | 01.04.2014 | 23,115 | | 2,390,018 | 7,387,725 | 42.872 | | 9,843,730 | |
| | | Rate | | | . 09 | | CL | 15 | 10 | | | | | | Rate | % | 1 | 09 | | 15 | 15 | 9 | 2 | | |
| | The second secon | Darticulare | | | | | | | | | nd - Delhi Campus | | | | Dationor | aruculars | | | omputer (project) | office Equipment (Project) | 4 | scientific Equipment (project) | -urniture (Project) | | |

| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2015 | As on 31.3.2014 | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Schedule 2: Current Assets | | | |
| | | | |
| Loan & Advances | 9,384,982 | 5,852,813 | |
| Interest Accrued but not due | 393,333 | 393,333 | |
| Interest Accrued and due but not received | 3,171,410 | 5,276 | |
| Project Work in Progress | 51,575,170 | 72,304,143 | |
| Prepaid Expenses | 1,131,761 | 1,262,468 | |
| Imprest Accounts | 1,218,329 | 1,245,713 | |
| TERI - Students Fellowship | 7,419,502 | 4,453,097 | |
| Amount Recoverable from Student - Course Fee | 3,824,885 | 3,391,565 | |
| Amount Recoverable for Completed Projects & Workshops | 3,835,186 | 3,391,505 | |
| Earnest Money Deposit With Govt. Department | 10,000 | 440,000 | |
| Amount Recoverable from Mr. Suneel Kumar | 31,451 | 110,000 | |
| Amount Recoverable from Mr. T.D. Gupta | 2,100 | 259,245 | |
| Amount Recoverable from State Bank of Hyderabad | 41,644 | 2,100 | |
| Amount Recoverable from Dr. Leena Srivastava | | • | |
| Security Deposit with Landlords | 21,000 | 504.000 | |
| Service Tax Recoverable | 385,200 | 531,000 | |
| TDS Recoverable | 420,022 | 481,822 | |
| Water & Electricity Charges Receivable | 4,489,401 | 3,443,889 | |
| Trace a Electricity Offarges Necelvable | 1,853,091 | 1,147,771 | |
| Cash & Bank Balance | | | |
| State Bank of Hyderabad | | | |
| SB A/c no. 52142908571 | 500,753 | 973,558 | |
| State Bank of Hyderabad | 300,733 | 973,556 | |
| SB A/c no. 52142908560 | 2,104,261 | 345.805 | |
| HDFC Bank A/c - 02731110000021 | 1,166,736 | The second secon | |
| HDFC Bank FCRA A/c - 00031170000088 | 9,820,427 | 838,294 | |
| CICI Bank - 000701263993 | | 3,885,303 | |
| Canara Bank - 3159101000096 | 610,198 | 818,299 | |
| Canara Bank - 3159101001448 | 4,411,143 | 4,888,515 | |
| Cheque in Hand | 2,672,644 9,588 | - | |
| Cash in Hand | | 070 000 | |
| | 1,031,138 | 679,820 | |
| Total | 111,535,355 | 107.313.829 | |



| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2015 | As on 31.3.2014 |
|---|---|------------------------------|
| | | |
| Schedule 3: Current Liabilities | | |
| | 7,000,044 | 2 626 444 |
| Sundry Creditors | 7,260,814 9,315 | 2,626,111 |
| Amount Payable to Students | | 115 425 076 |
| Project Contribution Received in Advance | 115,669,915 | 115,425,076 |
| Amount Payable to State Bank of India - Credit Card | 31,432 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Security Deposit Received from Suppliers Amount payable to TERI (Vehicle Loan & Home Loan & Interest - | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| | | 169,367 |
| Deputation Staff) | 65,000 | 56,000 |
| Audit Fees Payable Stale & Cancelled Cheques | 32,945 | 132,025 |
| Unutilised Contingent Grants - CSIR | 73,097 | 119,06 |
| Unutilised Contigent Grant - DBT | 245,000 | 174,71 |
| Unutilised Contigent Grant - UGC | 72,914 | 16,000 |
| Unutilised Contigent Grant - DST | 40,000 | - |
| Grant Received from DST (Inspire) for Students | 104,000 | - |
| Grant received from DBT for Students | 530,400 | 249,600 |
| Grant received from UGC for Students | 31,000 | 207,000 |
| Grant received from GGC for Staderits Grant received for Manish Manjunath Fellowship | 55,000 | - |
| Misc. Fellowship Received | 64,640 | |
| Amount transferred from Previous Employer for Retirement Benefits | 1,273,429 | - |
| Amount Payable to CSIR - Unutilsed Grant - Aniruddha Ghosh | 47,362 | |
| Outstanding Expenses | 2,360,344 | 3,190,864 |
| Provision - Faculty/Student Development Programme | 342,494 | 462,897 |
| Student Activities Fund | 470,000 | 1,171,720 |
| LTC Payable | - | 9,323 |
| Advance Money Received for Field Work - Dr. Sapna Narula | 85,277 | - |
| Received from Daikin University for PhD Student | - | 90,000 |
| Received from University of Guelph for PhD Student | 133,900 | 133,900 |
| Received from University of Utrecht for PhD Student | - | 299,372 |
| Duties & Taxes Payable | 1,112,897 | 860,521 |
| Security Deposits Refundable | 4,551,803 | 3,963,803 |
| Security Deposit from Employee - House Lease | 166,000 | 146,000 |
| Security Deposit Hostel Students | 315,000 | 365,000 |
| Course Fee Received in Advance | 28,610 | 600,000 |
| Vegetation Fund | - | 90,000 |
| Total | 135,197,588 | 130,583,357 |
| Schedule 4: Income Receipts | | |
| A. Academic Receipts | | |
| Course fees | 77,311,071 | 75,548,411 |
| Sale of Application Form | 1,905,601 | 1,835,935 |
| | 79,216,672 | 77,384,346 |
| B. Interest Receipts | | |
| Interest Received on Deposits | 11,063,630 | 7,515,753 |
| Interest Received on Reserve Bank of India Bond | 2,400,000 | 2,400,000 |
| Interest Received on Tax Deducted at Sources | - | 54,068 |
| Interest on Home Loan/Vehicle Loan | 627,700 | 445,444 |
| Interest - Others | 122,400 | 122,400 |
| Interest on Saving Bank | 942,421 15,156,151 | 560,569 11,098,230 |
| C. Other Bessints | 10,100,101 | 11,000,20 |
| C. Other Receipts | 270,000 | 255,000 |
| Sponosorship | 270,000 1,296,890 | 823,090 |
| | | 437,25 |
| Admission Cancellation Fees | | |
| Admission Cancellation Fees Amount Written back | 205,375 | |
| Admission Cancellation Fees Amount Written back Miscellaneous income | 205,375 2,061,831 | 371,43 |
| Admission Cancellation Fees Amount Written back Miscellaneous income Receipts from Hostel Fees | 205,375 2,061,831 5,154,470 | 371,439 5,659,36 |
| Admission Cancellation Fees Amount Written back Miscellaneous income Receipts from Hostel Fees Recoveries against Notice Pay & Leave Encashment | 205,375 2,061,831 5,154,470 75,426 | 371,439 5,659,36 70,62 |
| Admission Cancellation Fees Amount Written back Miscellaneous income Receipts from Hostel Fees | 205,375 2,061,831 5,154,470 | 371,439 5,659,36 |

| TERI UNIVERSITY | As on 31.3.2015 | As on 31.3.2014 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Catada (a. F. Calama Camana | | |
| Schedule 5: Salary Compor | 54.610.487 | 42,075,647 |
| Pay & Allowances | | 585,000 |
| Performance Linked Gratuity (Faculty) | 1,149,673 | 3.859.465 |
| Employer's Provident Fund Contribution | 4,874,541 | |
| Telephone Reimbursement | 58,666 | 42,250 |
| Medical Reimbursements | 394,502 | 428,163 |
| Gratuity Expenses | 930,936 | 313,993 |
| Leave Encashment | 483,630 | 237,932 |
| Leave Travel Allowance | 1,413,419 | 1,212,301 |
| Total | 63,915,854 | 48,754,751 |
| Schedule 6: Academic Course I | Expenses | |
| Advertisement | 1,741,410 | 1,452,667 |
| Bank Charges | 8,493 | 1,234 |
| Books & Periodicals - (Library) | 1,083,867 | 989,517 |
| Computer Software Maintenance Charges | 464,624 | 602,746 |
| Laboratory, Chemical, Glassware & Consumable Expenses | 430.070 | 272,408 |
| Conveyance & Hiring Expenses | 596,274 | 841,948 |
| Electrical & Repairs Maimtenance Expenses | - | 3,013 |
| Meeting & Refreshment Expenses | 141,111 | 108,033 |
| Fellowship, Honorarium, Stipend & Internship Payment | 1,222,441 | 897,733 |
| Students Field Expenses | 3,033,907 | 638,554 |
| Misc. Expenses | 6,046 | 68,914 |
| Photo & Picture Charges | - | 4.634 |
| Postage Expenses | 11,175 | 4,896 |
| Printing & Stationery | 225,334 | 204,023 |
| Professional Time Other Consultants | 8,843,907 | 9,627,927 |
| Repair & Maintenance Expenses | 97,381 | 26,919 |
| Seminar & Workshop | 320,324 | 16,497 |
| Travelling Expenses | 457,546 | 279,089 |
| Travelling Expenses (Foreign) | - | 197,112 |
| Total | 18,683,910 | 16,237,864 |



| | As on | As on | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|
| | 31.3.2015 | 31.3.2014 | |
| | | | |
| Schedule 7: Office Contingencies and Miscellaneous | | | |
| NAAC Expenses | - | 143.09 | |
| Annual Maintenance Contract | 2,612,847 | 3,743,19 | |
| Alumni Meeting Expenses | 58,022 | 51,78 | |
| Audit Fees | 65,000 | 56,00 | |
| Annual Sports Day Expenses | 13,420 | 14.88 | |
| Bank & Finance Charges | 357,463 | 262,86 | |
| Celebration & Festival Exepnses | 97,606 | 215,63 | |
| Computer Software and Repairs & Maintenance | 1,272,766 | 446,76 | |
| Consumable | - | 168,90 | |
| Conveyance Expenses | 83,494 | 70.00 | |
| Convocation Expenses | 1,287,119 | 1,100,12 | |
| Entertainment/Event/ Hostel Operating Expenses | 3,163,566 | 4,992,97 | |
| Electrcial & Hardware Items | 235,182 | 331,74 | |
| Guest House Operating Exp. | 201,289 | 190,21 | |
| Hiring charge - Vehicle | 1,073,411 | 725,80 | |
| Hostel Hiring Charges | 285,411 | 1,009,33 | |
| Student Fellowship, Contingency & Honorarium Expenses | 66,800 | 480,25 | |
| nsurance Premium | 241,713 | 220,68 | |
| Email/Internet/LAN Network Expenses | 1,009,253 | 1,138,33 | |
| Postage Expenses | 80,122 | 222.38 | |
| Printing & Stationery | 977,323 | 945,47 | |
| Misc. Expenses | 212,270 | 203.35 | |
| Movie Production Charges | 1,521,770 | 203,330 | |
| Provident Fund - Administration charges | 428,959 | 357.669 | |
| Provident Fund - EDLI Charges | 25,039 | 12,807 | |
| Provident Fund - Inspection Charge | 504 | 255 | |
| Housekeeping Charges, Office Maintenance Charges & Other | 304 | 250 | |
| Professional Charges | 8,064,176 | 7,822,839 | |
| Repair & Office Maintenance - Building | 1,135,003 | 918,581 | |
| Registration Fee/ Renewal Fees /Subscription Fee | 640,572 | 1,474,699 | |
| Repair & Maintance - Others | 127,269 | 156,209 | |
| Repair & Maintenance - Furniture | 353,983 | 432,961 | |
| Repair & Maintenance Office Equipment | 915,935 | The second secon | |
| lepair & Maintenance Vehicle | 173,246 | 1,139,970 | |
| alary - Staff on Deputation | 173,240 | 9,004 | |
| ecurity Service Charges | 3,209,639 | | |
| ervice Tax Paid | 1,676,144 | 3,449,036 | |
| ransit Residence | 638,824 | 2,086,710 | |
| elephone Expenses | 386,040 | 581,140 | |
| ravelling Expenses | | 232,788 | |
| ice Chancellor - Car Running Expenses | 982,084 119,383 | 527,228 | |
| Vater/Electrcitiy/Diesel A/c | 8,541,173 | 21,343 | |
| | 0.041.1/5 | 7,634,706 | |



SCHEDULE 8: SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

Significant Accounting Policies:

Accounting Convention:

The accounts have been prepared on accrual basis.

Revenue recognition

- a) Course Fee & Hostel Fee are recognized as income on accrual basis.
- b) Sponsorship fees is recognized as income on receipts basis.
- c) Contribution & Expenditure related to Research Activities, Training Programme, Seminar & Workshop are recognized as income / expenditure in Income and Expenditure Account at the time of completion of the activities.
- d) Income from investment is recognized on accrual basis.

Fixed Assets:

Fixed assets are stated at cost of acquisition / construction less accumulated depreciation.

The cost of acquisition is inclusive of borrowing cost, freight, taxes and other incidental expenses incurred up to the date of installation and commissioning of the assets.

No write-off is made in respect of leasehold land.

Depreciation

Depreciation of fixed assets has been provided on the written down value method at the following rates :

Office and Scientific Equipment,
Electric installations, Air – Conditioning plants,
Generators
Furniture & Fixtures
Car
Computers

15%
60%

Investment

Long term investment is stated at cost. Current investment is stated at cost or net realizable value whichever is lower.

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

The Trust is registered under section 12A (a) of the Income Tax Act 1961 and therefore, eligible for benefit of section 11 of the Income Tax Act. The donations made to the trust are exempt under section 80(G)(5)(V) of the Income Tax Act 1961.

Previous year figures have been regroups - rearranged wherever considered necessary.

Signature to Schedule 1 to 8 of the Balance Sheet.

Dhanraj Singh Finance Officer Dr. Rajiv Seth

Acting Vice Chancellor

As per Reports of even date attached:

For Sanjay Rastogi & Associates

Chartered Accountants

(Sanjay Rastogi) M.No. 75033

Place: New Delhi Date : 30/05/2014