Course title: Major Project					
Course code: NRG 104	No. of credits: 16	L-T-P: 0-0-512	Learning hours: 512*		
Pre-requisite course code and title (if any):					
Department: Department of Natural and Applied Sciences					
Course coordinator: Prof V	inay Sinha	Course instructor:			
Contact details:					
Course type: Core		Course offered in For	urth semester		
Course Description: The major project provides an opportunity for students to conduct a research project in					
a reputed organization for 16 weeks.*The suggested learning hours is minimum expected time to be invested					
for self-learning, interaction	with experts training at the	ne organization, dissertation	on writing etc. over a duration		
of 16 weeks.					
Course objectives: The obje	ctive of the major projec	t is to widen the students'	perspective by providing		
exposure to real-life issues. T	hat mainly involves the	application of geoinforma	tics as a part of its solution,		
through a project with extern	al institution/organization	n or TERI SAS.			
Evaluation criteria:					
The marks obtained by stude	nts in the major project	would be graded as per at	osolute grading scale given by		
the university (Annexure 1).		C 11			
The distribution of marks for	the major project work a	s follows:	1		
a. Timeline adherence	a. Timeline adherence -10% [the marks are distributed among a timely submission of joining report,				
synopsis, progress re	ports, leedback form, and $200/$ [A feedback	form mould be cont to	the sumarises of the best		
b. Supervisor feedback -20% [A feedback e-form would be sent to the supervisor of the host					
organization at the end of the course]					
c. Dissertation – 40 % [Dissertation submitted in the format prescribed in the guideline with the avaluated by the internal supervisor and a feaulty member as avaminar one and two with the					
weightage of 25% and 15% respectively. The Dissertation submitted by the student will undergo a					
similarity check and a penalty for plagiarism would be imposed as per the university policy					
(Annexure 2)]					
d Oral presentation/viva voce -30% [An evaluation panel consist of internal faculty members and					
External/s (whenever	necessary) would evaluate	ate the oral presentation at	t the end of the course]		
Learning outcomes: A fully	engaged student shall b	e able to attain profession	al experience in the field and		
prepare themselves for solving spatial problems using geoinformatics technology and other related research					
tools. Also, able to communicate and demonstrate the learning through structured thesis and oral presentation					
			*		
Pedagogical approach: Stud	lent's conduct research u	under the mentorship of re	esearch supervisor/s on a topic		
mutually agreeable to the supervisor of the external host organization. The internal supervisor constantly					
monitors the progress of the work through the reports submitted periodically and help the student in all					
possible means. Intermittent evaluation and feedback from the main/internal supervisors to assess the					
progress and midterm correction, if necessary. The student can also undertake a major project at TERI SAS,					
in such case both the internal and external supervisors are from TERI SAS. The guideline issued by the					
Programme before the Major implementation shall detail the mode of implementation, reporting and					
evaluation. The outcome of the project is evaluated through an oral presentation and the Dissertation.					
Additional information: F	or detailed process incl	luding timelines for sub	missions, format for various		
reports, and other items related to the thesis student should refer the major project guideline uploaded in the					
student portal.					
Student responsibilities:					
The students are expected to be in constant touch with the internal supervisor, co-ordinate between					
supervisors, and to follow major project guideline strictly.					

Course reviewers: Dr Shefali Agarwal, Group Head, Geospatial Technology & Outreach Programme Group, IIRS(ISRO). Ms Seema Joshi, GM & Head – Strategic Solutions & Technology, ESRI India

Prepared by Dr Nithiyanandam, Assistant Professor, DNR.

Annexure 1: Major project grading scale

Table1. The absolute grading scale for the major project

Mark obtained	Grade
>90	A+
>80≤90	А
>70≤80	B+
>60≤70	В
>50≤60	C+
>45≤50	С
>40≤45	D
≤40	F

The students scoring overall marks less than or equal to 40% ($\leq 40\%$) in the evaluation would be considered unsuccessful and would be graded F (fail).

Annexure 2: Plagiarism in the Dissertation

TERI SAS strongly encourage sits students to submit the original dissertation work without plagiarism. TERI SAS has zero-tolerance for plagiarism. The similarity detected in the Dissertation submitted by the student would be examined by the Department Integrity Panel. The DIP would determine the final percentage of plagiarism. The penalty for plagiarism shall be imposed as per table 2in line with the <u>UGC notification dated</u> 23rd July 2018 on "Promotion of academic integrity and prevention of plagiarism in higher education institutions, <u>REGD. NO. D. L.-33004/99</u>". The students are responsible for resolving the similarity in consultation with external/internal supervisors, and for ensuring the document deemed fit for final submission upon written consent of external/internal supervisors to the major project coordinator along with the list of amendments.

Table 2.Different levels of plagiarism and its corresponding penalty (in line with UGC guidelines) for major project dissertation.

Levels of Plagiarism	Percentage of similarity	Penalty
Level 3	> 60%	Student's registration to the program stands cancelled
Level 2	$>40\% \le 60\%$	The student repeats the major project next year
Level 1	> 10% ≤ 40%	The student needs to revise and resubmit the thesis within a stipulated period decided by the MPEC not exceeding six months
Level 0	≤ 10%	Minor similarities, no penalty